# Home Care in Sheffield: The Case for Change

February 2020



## Context

The 36 independent sector providers currently on SCC's framework:

- **Support** 5,000 people per year, delivering +1.5m visits.
- **Employ** over 1,000 care staff
- Meet **growing demand**: in 2016/17 SCC commissioned 19,000 hours of care per week, by 2018/19 +27,000.
- And increasing complexity: average package has also increased by an hour and a half per week
- Support is usually provided quickly when needed: Sheffield now consistently achieves DTOC targets
- Achieve improved CQC ratings, with 74% rated as 'good' or 'outstanding'.

## Why is change needed?

Despite improvement and stabilisation:

- People and their carers tell us home care often doesn't work well for them
- The market remains **fragile**: some providers have exited and the remainder report significant **financial pressures**
- Lots of inefficiency and some poor practice
- Care work is **not** a 'good job' in many respects: turnover is very high (around 40%) impacting on quality of care
- Home care is often not valued by other professionals
- The population will continue to age and demand increase
- Despite lots of people working very hard, too often, people's experiences are not good enough

### What could be achieved?

Home care has huge **unrealised potential**. Done right, it helps people to get better, be more independent and do **what matters to them**.

With systemic change, it could:

- Enable Sheffield to make better use of resources, helping more people to remain in their communities and avoid costly residential care.
- Help professionals make better, more timely interventions, preventing admissions and increased support being needed.
- Provide genuine **peace of mind** for families and carers.
- Work closely with families and the voluntary sector to ensure formal support is only used where needed.
- And, be a rewarding and fulfilling job, with T&C's more closely aligned to its value to society.

#### How can this be achieved?

We need to lay the **right foundations** to realise these positive outcomes:

- Act on what people have told us and keep listening
- Improve T&Cs for care workers
- Foster a multi-disciplinary approach working and learning together as one team
- Implement contracts that allow these things to flourish
- Identify 'failure demand': remove inefficiency if it doesn't help people, don't do it

## What might we *improve*?

There's lots of 'failure demand' for the new model to tackle, which resolving will generate better outcomes for people and 'the system'. Examples include:

- High turnover of care staff: impacts on quality, consistency, finances and administration
- Time and task: inflexible, unresponsive to people's desired outcomes
- Limited relationships: between care staff and other professionals, slows decision-making, reduces everyone's effectiveness
- Complex payment process: complaints / stress for people, hits cashflow for provider, huge cost / admin burden for SCC

Ultimately, we want to test the proposition that **getting things right for people**, both those receiving support and workers, is possible by using resources much better, and will generate **positive systemic outcomes**.

#### What next?

Test these principles, using the following model:

- Locality-based, MDT approach: potentially in SE, to link with Shortbrook Neighbourhood Hub
- Budget: £284k allocated from Better Care Fund
- Co-produced: with people and partners across the system
- Action-learning: iterative, collaborative approach, making adjustments as project develops
- Evaluation: independent, to ensure robustness

This page is intentionally left blank